

## DECOMPOSITIONS OF COMPLETE GRAPHS INTO REGULAR BICHROMATIC FACTORS

Anton KOTZIG

*Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal 101, Canada*

Received 13 September 1971

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to find a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a decomposition of a complete graph with given number of vertices into regular bichromatic factors and to answer the question what is the possible number of factors in such a decomposition.

Throughout this paper the word graph will mean a finite undirected graph without loops and multiple edges, having at least one edge. If  $G$  is a graph, then  $V(G)$  (resp.  $E(G)$ ) is the vertex set (resp. edge set) of  $G$ . By  $K_n$  we denote the complete graph with the vertex set  $V(K_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . A graph  $G$  is a bichromatic graph if there exists a decomposition of  $V(G)$  into two classes of vertices  $V'$  and  $V''$  such that every edge of  $E(G)$  joins two vertices belonging to different classes of  $\{V', V''\}$ . Then obviously it is possible to colour the vertices of  $G$  by two colours so that every edge of  $G$  joins two vertices having different colours ( $V'$  and  $V''$  are then the sets of "chromatic equivalent" vertices). A graph  $G$  is regular iff all vertices of  $G$  have the same degree. A subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  is a factor of  $G$  iff  $V(F) = V(G)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a regular bichromatic graph of degree  $n$  and let  $\{V', V''\}$  be a decomposition of  $V(G)$  into classes of chromatic equivalent vertices, then  $|V'| = |V''|$ .*

**Proof.** According to the definition of a bichromatic graph every edge of  $E(G)$  joins a vertex of  $V'$  with a vertex of  $V''$ . Therefore, if we denote by  $d_G(v)$  the degree of the vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , we have obviously:

$$n|V'| = \sum_{v \in V'} d_G(v) = \sum_{v \in V''} d_G(v) = n|V''|,$$

and as  $n > 0$  we have  $|V'| = |V''|$ .

**Corollary 1.** *A regular bichromatic graph has an even number of vertices*

**Lemma 2.** *A decomposition of  $K_n$  into regular bichromatic factors exists if and only if  $n$  is even.*

**Proof.** Let  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$  be a decomposition of  $K_n$  into bichromatic regular factors. Then  $V(F_i) = V(K_n) = n$ , and as  $F_i$  is a bichromatic regular graph,  $n$  must be even. It is well known that if  $n$  is even, then  $K_n$  can be decomposed into  $n-1$  linear factors; but, since every linear graph is bichromatic and regular, the validity of the lemma follows directly.

**Remark 1.** The maximal number of bichromatic regular factors in a decomposition of  $K_n$  is clearly  $n-1$  (and this decomposition exists, according to lemma 2, only if  $n$  is even). The question regarding the minimal number of factors in a decomposition with the required property will be answered in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  and  $n$  be natural numbers with the properties that  $2^{k-1} < n \leq 2^k$  and  $n$  is even, then there exists a decomposition  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\}$  of  $K_n$  into  $k$  regular bichromatic factors and  $k$  is the minimal number of factors in any decomposition of  $K_n$  into regular bichromatic factors*

**Proof.** It is clear that  $n$  must be even (see Lemma 2) and that the theorem is true for  $n = 2$  (then  $k = 1$  and  $F = \{F_1\}$ , where  $F_1 = K_2$ ).

Suppose that the theorem is true for every  $k$  smaller than a natural number  $r$ . Let  $n$  be an even number such that  $2^{r-1} < n \leq 2^r$ . If  $\frac{1}{2}n = m$  is also even, then we have  $2^{r-2} < m \leq 2^{r-1}$ , and according to our supposition, there exists a decomposition of  $K_m$  into  $r-1$  regular bichromatic factors. Let  $K'_m$  (resp.  $K''_m$ ) be the complete subgraph of  $K_n$ , where

$V(K'_m) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $V(K''_m) = \{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $F' = \{F'_1, F'_2, \dots, F'_{r-1}\}$  (resp.  $F'' = \{F''_1, F''_2, \dots, F''_{r-1}\}$ ) be a decomposition of  $K'_m$  (resp. of  $K''_m$ ) into regular bichromatic factors such that the following holds: the edge of  $K'_m$  with endpoints  $m+i$  and  $m+j$  belongs to  $F'_x$  iff the edge of  $K''_m$  with endpoints  $i$  and  $j$  belongs to  $F'_x$ . Then obviously for every  $x = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ ,  $F_x = F'_x \cup F''_x$  is a regular bichromatic factor of  $K_n$  and the factor  $F_r$  of  $K_n$  containing all edges not belonging to  $K'_m \cup K''_m$  is also a regular bichromatic factor of  $K_n$ . Therefore, if  $m$  is even, then there exists a decomposition  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$  of  $K_n$  into  $r$  bichromatic regular factors.

Now let  $m$  be odd. Then  $m+1$  is even and we have obviously  $2^{r-2} < m+1 \leq 2^{r-1}$ . According to our supposition there exists a decomposition  $F^0 = \{F^0_1, F^0_2, \dots, F^0_{r-1}\}$  of  $K_{m+1}$  into  $r-1$  regular bichromatic factors. Let us have again  $V(K'_m) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $V(K''_m) = \{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$ , and let us define the decomposition  $\{G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_{r-1}\}$  of  $K'_m$  (resp. the decomposition  $G'' = \{G''_1, G''_2, \dots, G''_{r-1}\}$  of  $K''_m$ ) into bichromatic (not necessarily regular) factors as follows: the edge of  $K'_m$  with the endpoints  $i$  and  $j$  belongs to  $G'_x$  and the edge of  $K''_m$  with the endpoints  $m+i$  and  $m+j$  belongs to  $G''_x$  iff the edge of  $K_{m+1}$  with the endpoints  $i$  and  $j$  belongs to  $F^0_x$ . Denote by  $e^0_v$  ( $v = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) the edge of  $K_{m+1}$  joining the vertices  $v$  and  $m+1$  in  $K_{m+1}$  and by  $e_v$  the edge of  $K_n$  joining  $v$  and  $m+v$  in  $K_n$ . Define for every  $x = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$  the edge set  $H_x \subseteq \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\} = E \cap E(K_n)$  as follows:  $e_x$  belongs to  $H_x$  iff  $e^0_x$  belongs to  $F^0_x$ . If we put, for  $x = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$ ,  $F_x = G'_x \cup G''_x \cup H_x$ , then  $F_x$  is a regular bichromatic factor of  $K_n$  (and has the same degree as  $F^0_x$ ) and the factor  $F_r$  of  $K_n$  containing all the edges not belonging to  $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_{r-1}$  is also a regular bichromatic graph (it is a so-called party graph of degree  $m-1$ ). Therefore  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$  is a decomposition of  $K_n$  into  $r$  regular bichromatic factors and we have in both cases ( $m$  is even or odd) the following result: If  $n$  is an even number  $> 0$  such that  $2^{k-1} < n \leq 2^k$ , then  $K_n$  can be decomposed into  $k$  regular bichromatic factors.

Now let us prove that  $k$  is the minimal number of factors in a decomposition with the required properties.

Let  $D = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_s\}$  be a decomposition of  $K_n$  into (not necessarily regular) bichromatic factors and let  $W_i = \{V_i(0), V_i(1)\}$  be a decomposition of  $V(D_i) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into two classes of vertices  $V_i(0)$  and

$V_i(1)$  such that every edge of  $D_i$  joins a vertex of  $V_i(0)$  with a vertex of  $V_i(1)$ . Define the number  $c_i(v)$  for every  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  as follows:  $[v \in V_i(x) \in W_i] \Leftrightarrow [c_i(v) = x]$  and put

$$c(v) = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i(v) \cdot 2^{i-1}.$$

It is obvious that for every  $v \in V(K_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $c(v)$  belongs to the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^s - 1\} = S (\Rightarrow |S| = 2^s)$  and further  $[u \neq v; \{u, v\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}] \Rightarrow [c(u) \neq c(v)]$ . In other words: the vertices of  $K_n$  can be coloured by at most  $2^s$  colours so that every two adjacent vertices have different colours in this colouring. But then  $2^s \geq n$  and from this the validity of the theorem follows immediately.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  and  $n$  be natural numbers with the property that  $2^{k-1} < n \leq 2^k$ . Then there exists a decomposition of  $K_n$  into  $k$  bichromatic factors and  $k$  is the minimal number of factors in any decomposition of  $K_n$  into bichromatic factors.*

**Proof.** The validity of this theorem follows from the validity of Theorem 1 [Immediately if  $n$  is even, while if  $n$  is odd then we obtain a decomposition of  $K_n$  from a decomposition of  $K_{n+1}$  after deleting the vertex denoted by  $n+1$ . We recall that in the proof of the fact that  $k$  is the minimal number of bichromatic factors in a decomposition of  $K_n$  into bichromatic factors, we did not suppose that the  $D_i$ 's are regular.]

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k, r$  and  $n$  be natural numbers with the following properties: (1)  $n$  is even; (2)  $2^{k-1} < n \leq 2^k$ ; (3)  $k \leq r \leq n-1$ . Then there exists a decomposition of  $K_n$  into  $r$  regular bichromatic factors.*

**Proof.** According to Theorem 1 in our case there exists a decomposition  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\}$  of  $K_n$  into  $k$  regular bichromatic factors. It is well-known that every bichromatic regular graph of degree  $d$  can be decomposed into  $d$  linear factors.

Let  $d_i$  be the degree of  $F_i$  and let  $D_i = \{L_i(1), L_i(2), \dots, L_i(d_i)\}$  be a decomposition of  $F_i$  into linear factors of  $F_i$ . It is obvious that a linear factor of  $D_i$  is also a linear factor of  $K_n$  and that every composition of

linear factors of  $D_i$  is a regular bichromatic factor of  $K_n$ . In this way we can obtain from  $F_i$  a decomposition of  $F_i$  into  $r_i$  regular bichromatic factors (each of which being also a regular bichromatic factor of  $K_n$ ) for every  $r_i$  such that  $1 \leq r_i \leq d_i$ . Therefore  $K_n$  can be decomposed into  $r$  regular bichromatic factors for every  $r$  for which we have  $r = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_k$  and  $1 \leq r_i \leq d_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Hence the decomposition of  $K_n$  with the required properties exists for every  $r$  for which we have  $k \leq r \leq n-1$  (because  $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_k = n-1$ ). The validity of other assertions of Theorem 3 is obvious directly from Theorem 1.

**Remark 2.** If the factors of a decomposition of  $K_n$  must be bichromatic but need not be regular, then the number of factors can be obviously greater than  $n-1$ , but this number equals at most the number  $\binom{n}{2}$  of edges of  $K_n$ . The decomposition  $F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$  of  $K_n$  into  $r = \binom{n}{2}$  bichromatic factors can be defined in the following way: every factor  $F_i$  contains exactly one edge of  $K_n$ . Therefore a generalization of Theorem 3 for the case of an odd  $n$  is of no interest.